

Appendix IV

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number	Rank		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
1	14.4	Hamilton	77	1	1	\$15,732	12	4.0%	34	8.4%	17	96.7%	1	41.8%	8
2	16	Hamilton	2	1	1	\$28,654	34	8.2%	8	2.6%	12	94.5%	2	34.1%	24
3	17	Kenton	671	1	1	\$14,512	10	10.7%	3	10.0%	19	89.3%	9	29.0%	44
4	24.6	Campbell	501	1	1	\$19,398	16	4.7%	25	25.7%	41	83.7%	24	37.5%	17
5	28.6	Hamilton	36	1	1	\$22,125	17	3.4%	43	34.7%	68	90.8%	6	41.1%	9
6	29.6	Campbell	505	1	1	\$26,304	26	5.0%	24	29.2%	51	81.7%	37	40.5%	10
7	30.4	Hamilton	88	1	1	\$28,964	36	6.3%	16	31.5%	57	89.3%	8	31.1%	35
7	30.4	Hamilton	98	1	1	\$26,378	27	7.5%	11	41.1%	80	90.1%	7	32.5%	27
9	33.6	Hamilton	94	1	1	\$22,788	19	16.9%	1	24.4%	38	77.8%	73	31.0%	37
10	34.4	Hamilton	21	1	1	\$44,583	89	10.9%	2	0.0%	1	81.0%	46	31.1%	34
11	34.8	Hamilton	95	1	1	\$31,731	42	5.7%	21	36.6%	70	84.8%	20	34.8%	21
12	35.8	Hamilton	92	1	1	\$30,333	39	9.7%	6	48.4%	109	85.2%	18	42.1%	7
13	37.2	Hamilton	67	1	1	\$15,938	13	7.0%	12	19.4%	29	88.1%	11	17.7%	121
14	37.6	Hamilton	85.02	1	1	\$7,459	3	7.5%	10	0.0%	1	70.2%	149	33.2%	25
15	39.2	Hamilton	87	1	1	\$41,161	73	9.9%	4	49.5%	111	92.1%	4	47.5%	4
16	42	Hamilton	85.01	1	1	\$26,514	28	5.9%	19	13.1%	20	76.8%	82	24.4%	61
17	46	Hamilton	28	1	1	\$32,733	45	4.3%	28	54.2%	128	84.2%	23	44.4%	6
18	51.2	Hamilton	16	1	1	\$8,725	4	7.8%	9	53.8%	124	73.3%	114	45.8%	5
19	51.8	Hamilton	104	1	1	\$33,625	48	2.9%	55	15.8%	24	78.3%	66	22.7%	66
20	53.2	Hamilton	93	1	1	\$35,889	56	5.7%	20	33.3%	61	77.3%	77	25.6%	52
20	53.2	Hamilton	110	1	1	\$41,090	72	4.4%	27	49.6%	112	86.1%	14	29.7%	41
22	55.2	Hamilton	35	1	1	\$16,203	14	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	93.9%	3	39.7%	11
23	56.2	Hamilton	262	1	1	\$33,750	49	9.8%	5	52.0%	118	91.6%	5	19.6%	104
24	56.4	Hamilton	39	1	1	\$35,500	54	2.9%	57	20.0%	30	81.5%	40	19.8%	101
25	58.4	Kenton	650	1	1	\$36,629	58	4.2%	30	44.1%	92	85.6%	16	20.4%	96
26	60.2	Hamilton	3.01	1	1	\$12,981	8	3.0%	49	0.0%	1	63.6%	230	38.6%	13
26	60.2	Hamilton	257	1	1	\$43,963	86	1.6%	124	26.3%	43	82.6%	30	37.3%	18

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009

SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number			Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
28	64.2	Hamilton	228	1	1	\$48,958	109	2.6%	70	37.6%	74	83.3%	26	29.4%	42
29	67.2	Hamilton	68	1	1	\$24,092	21	0.0%	247	15.9%	25	85.9%	15	32.4%	28
29	67.2	Hamilton	97	1	1	\$31,996	43	1.3%	141	21.2%	34	79.6%	55	23.8%	63
31	68	Hamilton	61	1	1	\$39,798	67	4.3%	29	45.6%	99	77.1%	80	22.8%	65
32	70	Hamilton	96	1	1	\$38,607	64	2.7%	64	16.7%	26	68.1%	174	34.5%	22
33	71.2	Hamilton	47.02	1	1	\$42,031	77	2.4%	78	46.7%	105	75.2%	95	56.9%	1
34	71.4	Hamilton	37	1	1	\$14,904	11	1.4%	134	6.8%	16	68.1%	176	35.6%	20
35	71.6	Hamilton	15	1	1	\$14,327	9	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	78.5%	61	29.8%	40
36	72.2	Hamilton	73	1	1	\$42,173	78	2.0%	97	22.5%	36	78.0%	68	21.3%	82
37	73.2	Hamilton	64	1	1	\$33,050	47	1.9%	103	31.0%	55	77.5%	74	20.9%	87
38	73.8	Campbell	512	1	1	\$27,061	30	0.0%	247	27.4%	46	88.6%	10	31.1%	36
38	73.8	Hamilton	227	1	1	\$29,855	38	0.9%	166	31.6%	59	78.4%	63	29.1%	43
40	74	Hamilton	103	1	1	\$26,250	25	0.0%	247	37.1%	71	83.4%	25	49.9%	2
41	77.8	Hamilton	223.01	1	1	\$46,918	99	3.3%	44	62.4%	157	84.4%	22	22.6%	67
42	78	Kenton	612	1	1	\$49,083	111	2.3%	86	41.6%	83	78.4%	64	27.7%	46
42	78	Hamilton	80	1	1	\$10,135	6	0.0%	247	4.3%	13	75.3%	94	31.7%	30
44	79	Kenton	651	1	1	\$30,911	40	2.3%	88	30.0%	54	69.5%	158	25.2%	55
45	79.8	Hamilton	86.01	1	1	\$31,176	41	0.0%	247	28.8%	49	80.3%	50	39.4%	12
46	81.8	Hamilton	69	1	1	\$47,837	105	1.4%	137	29.5%	52	79.2%	57	24.6%	58
47	82	Hamilton	9	1	1	\$28,077	33	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	73.5%	113	37.7%	16
48	82.6	Hamilton	100.02	1	1	\$34,684	51	0.8%	175	24.7%	40	83.2%	28	17.7%	119
49	82.8	Hamilton	216.04	1	1	\$43,365	82	3.0%	50	43.9%	91	76.5%	83	18.8%	108
50	83.4	Hamilton	66	1	1	\$28,071	32	2.7%	62	14.0%	22	62.3%	242	24.6%	59
51	83.8	Hamilton	63	1	1	\$32,654	44	0.0%	247	20.8%	32	84.6%	21	21.8%	75
52	84.6	Warren	325	1	1	\$48,307	107	3.3%	45	62.0%	154	81.3%	43	21.8%	74
53	85.2	Hamilton	34	1	1	\$7,243	1	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	70.0%	154	34.3%	23
54	85.4	Clermont	402.04	1	1	\$47,029	101	2.0%	96	47.9%	108	82.6%	32	20.9%	90
54	85.4	Hamilton	38	1	1	\$27,973	31	0.0%	247	27.1%	45	79.4%	56	27.1%	48
56	86.4	Hamilton	255	1	1	\$45,789	95	2.6%	69	53.1%	122	75.4%	92	25.4%	54

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009																
SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education			
			Number	Quartile	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank		
57	87.2	Hamilton	207.42	1	\$53,219	139	5.2%	22	64.4%	168	83.2%	27	21.5%	80		
58	89.6	Clermont	418	1	\$42,845	80	6.7%	13	66.2%	180	76.1%	87	20.9%	88		
59	89.8	Kenton	609	1	\$29,196	37	1.7%	108	41.5%	82	72.1%	128	20.6%	94		
60	90.6	Hamilton	215.09	1	\$47,515	104	3.6%	41	34.2%	66	78.4%	62	13.9%	180		
61	90.8	Kenton	644	1	\$50,457	122	6.5%	14	43.0%	89	78.9%	59	14.2%	170		
62	91	Boone	701	1	\$42,025	76	1.1%	155	33.7%	62	78.8%	60	19.7%	102		
62	91	Campbell	502	1	\$28,846	35	0.0%	247	6.7%	15	71.6%	132	33.1%	26		
64	91.6	Clermont	409	1	\$53,265	140	6.4%	15	64.6%	170	82.1%	34	20.0%	99		
65	93.4	Warren	302	1	\$43,697	85	2.9%	53	76.4%	244	80.9%	47	30.5%	38		
66	94.8	Hamilton	100.01	1	\$45,909	96	2.6%	72	45.6%	98	74.4%	102	19.2%	106		
67	95	Hamilton	62.01	1	\$41,373	74	1.8%	106	40.4%	79	72.3%	125	20.9%	91		
68	96.4	Hamilton	23	1	\$38,359	63	3.7%	39	13.8%	21	51.7%	320	30.3%	39		
69	97.6	Kenton	669	1	\$50,139	118	3.2%	46	66.5%	184	79.9%	54	21.1%	86		
69	97.6	Hamilton	22	1	\$36,500	57	1.1%	153	33.1%	60	68.8%	168	26.1%	50		
71	99.6	Warren	305.01	1	\$45,313	93	1.9%	101	53.3%	123	76.1%	86	20.5%	95		
72	99.8	Boone	703.01	1	\$40,407	69	1.1%	159	61.7%	152	81.4%	41	21.5%	78		
73	100.2	Hamilton	99.02	1	\$40,288	68	1.7%	117	31.4%	56	74.5%	101	15.0%	159		
74	100.6	Hamilton	89	1	\$23,750	20	0.0%	247	29.6%	53	85.6%	17	14.6%	166		
75	101.2	Hamilton	74	1	\$38,882	65	2.2%	93	4.9%	14	75.2%	97	10.7%	237		
76	101.6	Hamilton	83	1	\$50,734	125	3.9%	36	46.7%	104	70.1%	150	20.7%	93		
77	101.8	Clermont	416	1	\$54,289	145	4.1%	31	55.9%	133	73.0%	119	21.5%	81		
78	102.6	Dearborn	803	1	\$51,100	128	2.7%	65	71.7%	222	81.3%	42	24.9%	56		
78	102.6	Clermont	420	1	\$49,965	116	1.4%	135	62.2%	155	82.1%	35	22.2%	72		
80	102.8	Kenton	607	1	\$37,083	61	1.2%	146	38.0%	75	67.2%	187	27.8%	45		
81	103.8	Hamilton	17	1	\$7,434	2	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	61.1%	254	37.8%	15		
82	105.8	Hamilton	216.02	1	\$39,750	66	3.8%	37	46.6%	103	67.5%	182	15.9%	141		
83	106	Hamilton	252	1	\$50,439	121	0.9%	162	47.6%	107	78.2%	67	22.1%	73		
84	107.2	Kenton	616	1	\$26,563	29	2.2%	89	51.0%	116	59.8%	273	31.8%	29		
85	107.6	Hamilton	217.02	1	\$49,135	112	2.3%	81	55.8%	131	71.2%	135	21.5%	79		

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009

SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number	Rank		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
86	108.6	Kenton	614	90	1	\$44,857	247	0.0%	247	33.9%	64	78.4%	65	21.5%	77
87	109.2	Kenton	610	52	1	\$35,139	186	0.7%	186	46.4%	101	72.7%	122	21.1%	85
88	109.4	Hamilton	58	115	1	\$49,625	59	2.8%	59	38.5%	77	70.6%	146	15.4%	150
89	110.8	Hamilton	54	100	1	\$46,964	133	1.4%	133	27.7%	47	71.3%	134	16.0%	140
90	111.2	Kenton	603	75	1	\$41,625	26	4.6%	26	89.5%	349	80.4%	49	24.7%	57
91	112.4	Boone	702	110	1	\$49,079	91	2.2%	91	44.7%	95	81.9%	36	11.2%	230
92	112.6	Clermont	402.02	102	1	\$47,366	85	2.3%	85	76.8%	250	82.8%	29	20.1%	97
93	113.8	Campbell	506	79	1	\$42,476	247	0.0%	247	28.4%	48	69.1%	164	31.3%	31
94	114.4	Clermont	411.02	62	1	\$38,182	152	1.2%	152	44.5%	93	65.4%	212	25.6%	53
95	114.8	Clermont	417.01	129	1	\$51,167	84	2.3%	84	69.2%	205	77.9%	72	21.2%	84
96	115.4	Hamilton	44	60	1	\$36,944	203	0.6%	203	33.7%	63	66.3%	202	27.0%	49
97	116.2	Hamilton	29	92	1	\$45,250	23	5.2%	23	24.4%	37	56.6%	295	16.4%	134
98	116.4	Hamilton	33	24	11	\$25,868	247	0.0%	247	25.8%	42	73.3%	115	15.2%	154
99	117.4	Hamilton	3.02	7	2	\$12,089	247	0.0%	247	8.9%	18	56.6%	296	37.0%	19
100	118.4	Hamilton	229	124	2	\$50,500	164	0.9%	164	71.2%	220	86.5%	13	22.3%	71
101	118.8	Hamilton	207.62	87	2	\$44,176	92	2.2%	92	42.9%	88	75.5%	91	10.8%	236
102	123.8	Hamilton	91	18	2	\$22,784	247	0.0%	247	41.9%	85	60.2%	266	47.8%	3
103	124.4	Hamilton	82.02	81	2	\$42,984	181	0.8%	181	38.1%	76	68.1%	172	18.1%	112
104	125	Hamilton	109	88	2	\$44,400	76	2.4%	76	79.1%	271	80.1%	51	16.0%	139
105	126.8	Hamilton	218.02	127	2	\$51,045	113	1.7%	113	65.4%	175	76.9%	81	16.1%	138
106	127	Warren	314	97	2	\$46,059	167	0.9%	167	46.8%	106	73.2%	116	15.6%	149
107	127.2	Hamilton	207.41	103	2	\$47,384	247	0.0%	247	37.5%	73	77.9%	70	15.9%	143
108	131.6	Kenton	657	134	2	\$52,000	47	3.1%	47	81.9%	286	71.8%	129	24.1%	62
109	132.8	Hamilton	219	117	2	\$50,089	247	0.0%	247	20.2%	31	74.5%	100	14.4%	169
110	133	Hamilton	55	55	2	\$35,530	198	0.7%	198	51.2%	117	73.0%	120	14.0%	175
111	133.2	Hamilton	4	138	2	\$53,115	60	2.8%	60	17.9%	28	48.1%	342	20.0%	98
112	133.6	Hamilton	108	98	2	\$46,583	247	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	67.0%	192	16.8%	130
113	135	Hamilton	232.01	150	2	\$55,481	142	1.3%	142	68.9%	202	77.3%	78	19.7%	103
114	135.2	Dearborn	807	151	2	\$55,714	100	1.9%	100	63.7%	165	77.9%	71	13.1%	189

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education		
			Number	Quartile	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	
115	135.4	Campbell	511.01	2	\$43,380	84	4.0%	33	76.5%	246	67.2%	185	16.9%	129	
115	135.4	Hamilton	8	2	\$34,167	50	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	54.0%	309	22.5%	70	
117	136.6	Dearborn	805	2	\$51,716	133	0.0%	247	59.6%	146	82.6%	31	17.1%	126	
118	137	Hamilton	258	2	\$61,477	186	2.1%	95	50.7%	115	76.1%	88	12.5%	201	
119	137.6	Hamilton	217.01	2	\$49,487	113	0.8%	171	50.7%	114	72.5%	123	14.6%	167	
120	138	Hamilton	26	2	\$18,627	15	0.0%	247	16.9%	27	71.7%	130	8.4%	271	
121	139	Hamilton	204.01	2	\$62,464	190	1.9%	102	65.5%	176	74.2%	104	17.6%	123	
122	139.8	Hamilton	261.02	2	\$50,483	123	1.2%	148	83.6%	301	81.6%	38	20.9%	89	
123	140.2	Hamilton	261.01	2	\$58,371	166	1.7%	109	69.9%	210	75.2%	96	17.7%	120	
124	140.8	Warren	321	2	\$54,435	146	0.3%	241	58.3%	139	73.8%	110	22.6%	68	
125	141.8	Hamilton	216.03	2	\$68,442	234	2.9%	56	63.4%	163	72.9%	121	16.2%	135	
126	144	Hamilton	40	2	\$32,780	46	0.0%	247	27.0%	44	74.0%	107	8.1%	276	
127	144.6	Hamilton	249.01	2	\$60,769	181	0.0%	247	75.0%	236	87.5%	12	27.5%	47	
128	146.6	Kenton	637.02	2	\$52,038	135	2.1%	94	93.2%	368	79.9%	53	21.2%	83	
129	146.8	Hamilton	234	2	\$45,636	94	1.8%	107	45.4%	96	60.3%	264	14.1%	173	
130	147.2	Boone	703.04	2	\$55,795	152	0.0%	247	77.9%	261	81.1%	44	31.2%	32	
131	148.4	Hamilton	215.06	2	\$55,893	154	0.0%	247	34.6%	67	70.8%	143	16.8%	131	
132	149.4	Warren	301.02	2	\$57,679	164	0.0%	247	74.5%	233	81.6%	39	23.1%	64	
133	149.6	Warren	315	2	\$66,113	217	2.5%	73	60.6%	150	72.5%	124	13.6%	184	
134	150.2	Clermont	417.02	2	\$63,919	199	1.5%	127	55.1%	130	67.5%	181	18.0%	114	
134	150.2	Hamilton	41	2	\$41,042	70	4.1%	32	53.0%	121	46.8%	345	13.8%	183	
134	150.2	Hamilton	60	2	\$51,697	132	1.4%	136	58.5%	142	65.4%	213	17.0%	128	
137	151.4	Kenton	656	2	\$48,511	108	0.0%	247	41.9%	84	71.6%	133	13.6%	185	
138	152.8	Hamilton	78	2	\$51,571	130	0.8%	173	45.4%	97	62.7%	239	17.2%	125	
139	153.2	Kenton	642	2	\$59,174	172	3.9%	35	85.8%	318	75.3%	93	15.6%	148	
140	153.8	Boone	705.02	2	\$67,589	232	3.8%	38	85.7%	317	79.1%	58	17.5%	124	
141	154.8	Hamilton	105	2	\$63,922	200	0.0%	247	56.6%	137	80.4%	48	15.9%	142	
141	154.8	Warren	301.01	2	\$65,313	208	1.6%	120	71.6%	221	78.0%	69	15.1%	156	
143	155.4	Hamilton	232.22	2	\$54,583	147	0.7%	184	62.7%	159	76.2%	85	12.4%	202	

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009																
Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education			
			Number	Quartile	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank		
144	156	Hamilton	27	2	\$25,333	23	0.0%	247	68.2%	198	67.0%	194	17.7%	118		
145	157.4	Clermont	413.04	2	\$66,893	226	2.3%	82	69.8%	208	69.8%	155	17.8%	116		
146	157.6	Hamilton	81	2	\$60,549	179	0.7%	190	45.9%	100	60.6%	259	24.4%	60		
147	158.4	Clermont	408	2	\$62,007	188	2.7%	67	77.5%	258	74.0%	108	14.1%	171		
148	158.6	Kenton	659	2	\$59,013	169	0.9%	170	60.7%	151	75.0%	98	12.4%	205		
149	160.6	Hamilton	79	2	\$54,097	144	0.0%	247	59.4%	145	73.5%	112	15.2%	155		
150	160.8	Hamilton	10	2	\$24,643	22	0.0%	247	14.4%	23	45.6%	348	14.7%	164		
151	161.6	Hamilton	215.72	2	\$56,486	157	0.7%	193	44.6%	94	70.8%	141	11.4%	223		
152	165.4	Campbell	511.02	2	\$51,607	131	0.0%	247	70.1%	212	84.9%	19	11.6%	218		
153	166.6	Hamilton	25	2	\$41,083	71	0.0%	247	21.1%	33	57.2%	291	13.0%	191		
154	166.8	Clermont	407.01	2	\$56,319	155	1.8%	104	37.3%	72	57.8%	287	11.8%	216		
155	168.4	Hamilton	32	2	\$36,875	59	6.1%	18	21.9%	35	45.2%	352	1.6%	378		
156	168.6	Hamilton	218.01	2	\$53,833	142	0.5%	216	43.2%	90	71.1%	137	9.5%	258		
157	169	Hamilton	84	2	\$43,365	82	0.0%	247	28.8%	50	64.7%	222	10.2%	244		
158	169.2	Hamilton	238	2	\$59,071	170	0.2%	244	56.5%	136	70.7%	144	15.4%	152		
159	169.4	Hamilton	207.05	2	\$66,600	221	0.0%	247	63.1%	162	73.1%	117	19.9%	100		
160	169.8	Hamilton	99.01	2	\$59,489	173	0.8%	174	64.9%	171	67.9%	178	15.2%	153		
161	170.2	Hamilton	254.02	2	\$58,971	168	0.9%	163	63.0%	160	61.8%	247	18.1%	113		
162	170.4	Clermont	414.03	2	\$53,676	141	0.7%	188	56.3%	135	65.9%	206	13.8%	182		
162	170.4	Hamilton	205.05	2	\$64,028	201	0.0%	247	53.8%	127	76.0%	89	13.2%	188		
164	170.6	Campbell	521	2	\$53,856	143	0.0%	247	67.1%	187	68.8%	169	19.2%	107		
165	170.8	Hamilton	82.01	2	\$57,357	162	1.7%	116	46.5%	102	66.5%	199	8.1%	275		
166	171	Hamilton	209.01	2	\$50,417	120	0.8%	176	72.5%	226	68.4%	171	14.9%	162		
166	171	Hamilton	215.71	2	\$66,250	218	3.0%	52	65.0%	172	58.9%	277	16.2%	136		
168	173.2	Hamilton	215.04	2	\$57,239	160	0.9%	161	42.8%	87	65.2%	217	10.4%	241		
169	173.6	Kenton	638	2	\$49,536	114	1.3%	143	55.8%	132	55.3%	303	14.0%	176		
169	173.6	Hamilton	30	2	\$35,208	53	8.7%	7	84.9%	312	65.9%	205	7.3%	291		
171	175.6	Hamilton	19	2	\$55,114	148	1.3%	138	35.2%	69	44.9%	355	14.6%	168		
172	176.2	Hamilton	209.02	2	\$55,259	149	0.5%	215	66.3%	182	69.7%	156	13.9%	179		

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number	SES Index		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
172	176.2	Hamilton	253	2	2	\$52,750	137	0.0%	247	70.1%	214	65.4%	214	22.5%	69
174	176.4	Clermont	401.02	2	2	\$64,450	203	1.6%	122	77.3%	255	74.2%	105	12.7%	197
174	176.4	Hamilton	256	2	2	\$44,965	91	0.0%	247	53.8%	126	62.6%	240	14.0%	178
176	177	Hamilton	75	2	2	\$57,019	158	0.0%	247	24.5%	39	56.7%	294	15.6%	147
177	178.2	Hamilton	225	2	2	\$64,946	205	1.1%	156	59.1%	143	70.0%	153	10.9%	234
178	178.4	Boone	706.04	2	2	\$62,419	189	2.8%	58	77.6%	259	67.5%	183	12.4%	203
179	180.8	Dearborn	806	2	2	\$65,578	212	0.2%	242	72.5%	225	82.3%	33	12.9%	192
180	181.2	Hamilton	102.01	2	2	\$57,146	159	0.6%	209	61.9%	153	62.6%	241	15.7%	144
181	183.4	Kenton	613	2	2	\$50,846	126	1.3%	144	82.7%	294	60.5%	261	20.8%	92
182	184	Clermont	401.01	2	2	\$68,875	235	0.4%	221	81.1%	280	77.2%	79	19.5%	105
183	185	Hamilton	65	2	2	\$59,500	174	0.9%	168	52.2%	119	57.4%	290	14.0%	174
184	186.6	Hamilton	210.01	2	2	\$50,250	119	0.0%	247	72.7%	227	75.7%	90	9.9%	250
185	187.2	Hamilton	215.08	2	2	\$65,404	209	1.1%	154	65.0%	173	70.9%	140	9.1%	260
186	187.8	Warren	306	2	2	\$67,880	233	1.0%	160	65.8%	179	65.8%	207	15.0%	160
187	190	Hamilton	260.02	2	2	\$64,234	202	0.0%	247	69.9%	209	69.4%	159	16.5%	133
188	190.2	Kenton	654	2	2	\$98,065	340	0.0%	247	42.5%	86	80.0%	52	11.3%	226
189	190.4	Warren	323	2	2	\$60,872	182	0.0%	247	56.1%	134	66.8%	196	12.9%	193
190	190.6	Campbell	530	2	2	\$58,657	167	0.0%	247	50.7%	113	62.9%	236	13.1%	190
191	190.8	Kenton	670	2	2	\$101,563	348	1.6%	123	31.6%	58	53.2%	314	18.2%	111
192	191.6	Hamilton	215.05	3	3	\$63,841	198	0.0%	247	58.3%	140	69.1%	166	12.2%	207
193	192	Clermont	413.02	3	3	\$65,053	206	0.8%	180	69.1%	204	70.2%	148	11.6%	222
193	192	Hamilton	11	3	3	\$9,205	5	0.0%	247	0.0%	1	45.5%	350	3.7%	357
195	193.8	Dearborn	804	3	3	\$66,798	224	1.5%	128	75.0%	235	69.7%	157	11.3%	225
195	193.8	Clermont	405	3	3	\$52,614	136	0.0%	247	65.6%	178	67.6%	180	11.3%	228
197	194	Hamilton	214.21	3	3	\$81,597	294	1.7%	114	67.5%	190	76.3%	84	7.4%	288
198	194.4	Clermont	402.03	3	3	\$66,731	223	0.0%	247	77.2%	254	74.4%	103	15.6%	145
199	194.8	Dearborn	801.01	3	3	\$60,966	184	1.4%	131	92.9%	367	72.2%	127	14.7%	165
200	197.8	Hamilton	232.1	3	3	\$59,856	175	0.4%	228	65.4%	174	65.3%	216	12.7%	196
201	198	Hamilton	111	3	3	\$63,542	195	3.0%	51	67.7%	192	64.5%	225	5.1%	327

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009

SES Index Rank	County	Census Tract		Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education		
		Number	Quartile	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	
202	198.2	Kenton	646	3	\$75,208	268	1.5%	126	63.6%	164	65.8%	209	11.4%	224
203	198.4	Hamilton	46.01	3	\$64,702	204	0.4%	229	39.5%	78	49.6%	335	15.6%	146
203	198.4	Hamilton	237.02	3	\$60,885	183	0.0%	247	74.4%	232	65.0%	221	18.5%	109
205	199.4	Hamilton	247	3	\$66,000	214	0.3%	236	63.8%	166	71.2%	136	10.1%	245
206	199.6	Dearborn	802.01	3	\$73,906	263	2.9%	54	80.4%	276	73.0%	118	7.6%	287
207	200.2	Campbell	524	3	\$59,904	176	0.0%	247	82.3%	289	72.2%	126	14.9%	163
208	200.8	Campbell	519.01	3	\$68,882	236	2.2%	90	85.7%	316	70.7%	145	11.6%	217
209	201.6	Hamilton	56	3	\$63,561	196	0.0%	247	76.5%	247	66.4%	201	17.8%	117
210	202	Kenton	637.01	3	\$61,932	187	0.0%	247	78.0%	263	70.1%	152	14.9%	161
211	202.6	Hamilton	210.03	3	\$74,464	265	0.7%	197	54.3%	129	67.8%	179	10.3%	243
211	202.6	Hamilton	254.01	3	\$56,326	156	0.0%	247	77.9%	260	64.6%	223	17.0%	127
213	202.8	Hamilton	237.01	3	\$66,905	227	0.0%	247	71.1%	219	68.7%	170	15.4%	151
214	203.4	Clermont	407.02	3	\$57,440	163	0.0%	247	84.3%	307	70.8%	142	15.0%	158
215	203.6	Kenton	636.04	3	\$65,243	207	0.0%	247	63.0%	161	74.6%	99	6.5%	304
216	204.6	Kenton	636.03	3	\$69,236	240	2.6%	71	87.6%	331	66.1%	204	14.0%	177
217	205.4	Campbell	520.01	3	\$80,111	287	1.6%	121	85.4%	314	73.8%	111	12.8%	194
217	205.4	Hamilton	230.01	3	\$67,500	231	0.9%	169	71.0%	218	67.0%	189	11.6%	220
219	207	Kenton	658	3	\$74,934	266	2.8%	61	84.6%	309	67.1%	188	12.0%	211
219	207	Clermont	410	3	\$75,298	269	0.7%	199	78.9%	270	68.1%	175	17.6%	122
221	207.6	Kenton	611	3	\$73,444	262	2.5%	75	76.4%	243	60.3%	263	12.7%	195
221	207.6	Clermont	419	3	\$67,168	229	0.0%	247	87.6%	330	77.5%	75	15.1%	157
223	207.8	Hamilton	221.02	3	\$66,290	220	2.7%	63	68.8%	200	62.8%	237	6.1%	319
224	208.2	Hamilton	57.02	3	\$57,256	161	0.0%	247	41.2%	81	57.7%	288	8.9%	264
225	209.4	Hamilton	207.01	3	\$60,078	177	0.7%	187	72.9%	229	66.3%	203	9.8%	251
226	210	Hamilton	214.01	3	\$71,134	246	2.0%	98	88.1%	337	69.3%	160	12.1%	209
226	210	Hamilton	214.22	3	\$71,417	250	0.0%	247	60.5%	149	70.1%	151	9.6%	253
228	210.4	Campbell	531	3	\$69,207	239	0.0%	246	67.9%	195	67.2%	186	13.3%	186
228	210.4	Clermont	415.02	3	\$65,421	210	0.0%	247	69.9%	211	66.5%	197	13.2%	187
230	211	Hamilton	236	3	\$66,066	215	0.4%	230	66.7%	185	67.0%	193	11.0%	232



SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
SES Index	County	Census Tract		Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education			
		Number	Quartile	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank		
231	212.6	Campbell	525	3	\$72,963	257	0.0%	247	70.7%	217	63.4%	232	18.3%	110	
231	212.6	Hamilton	18	3	\$55,795	152	2.7%	68	78.9%	269	55.0%	304	8.5%	270	
233	213	Kenton	668	3	\$78,125	278	1.7%	118	67.6%	191	60.2%	265	11.8%	213	
234	214.2	Campbell	503	3	\$87,059	311	1.7%	112	66.4%	183	49.7%	333	16.8%	132	
235	214.6	Hamilton	206.02	3	\$60,735	180	0.0%	247	66.2%	181	61.2%	253	11.9%	212	
236	215	Hamilton	101	3	\$63,491	193	0.8%	177	64.3%	167	59.2%	275	8.9%	263	
237	215.2	Kenton	643	3	\$62,969	191	2.3%	83	81.1%	281	65.3%	215	6.5%	306	
238	215.4	Campbell	520.02	3	\$80,625	290	2.5%	74	84.2%	305	69.2%	162	10.1%	246	
238	215.4	Warren	324	3	\$66,824	225	0.0%	247	87.3%	329	77.4%	76	12.5%	200	
240	218.4	Hamilton	259	3	\$63,000	192	0.0%	247	100.0%	379	81.1%	45	11.3%	229	
241	219.4	Clermont	411.03	3	\$70,515	244	0.5%	217	66.9%	186	61.9%	246	12.4%	204	
241	219.4	Warren	307	3	\$67,419	230	0.0%	247	72.8%	228	68.1%	173	11.6%	219	
243	220	Warren	310	3	\$72,204	254	0.6%	212	69.3%	206	65.2%	218	12.1%	210	
244	220.2	Campbell	528	3	\$71,406	249	0.8%	179	76.6%	248	66.5%	198	11.3%	227	
245	220.6	Hamilton	70	3	\$72,804	255	2.4%	77	58.4%	141	58.0%	282	4.4%	348	
246	221.2	Hamilton	208.11	3	\$63,503	194	0.6%	211	76.3%	242	69.2%	161	7.0%	298	
247	222.2	Boone	705.01	3	\$73,041	258	1.2%	147	72.2%	223	69.0%	167	6.2%	316	
248	222.8	Hamilton	102.02	3	\$71,638	251	0.0%	247	78.8%	268	63.4%	233	17.9%	115	
249	223	Clermont	413.03	3	\$79,397	283	1.5%	130	82.8%	295	63.9%	226	13.9%	181	
250	224.6	Hamilton	207.61	3	\$63,609	197	0.0%	247	69.0%	203	60.0%	270	12.2%	206	
251	225.6	Dearborn	802.02	3	\$69,517	241	0.7%	182	84.4%	308	71.6%	131	8.8%	266	
252	226.2	Hamilton	6	3	\$48,000	106	6.2%	17	77.4%	257	29.3%	380	2.8%	371	
253	227	Warren	311	3	\$86,452	309	1.1%	157	87.6%	332	71.0%	139	12.6%	198	
254	227.2	Kenton	636.05	3	\$66,270	219	0.6%	204	81.1%	282	65.7%	210	11.6%	221	
255	228.4	Campbell	522	3	\$60,536	178	0.0%	247	76.7%	249	66.5%	200	8.7%	268	
256	229	Hamilton	210.02	3	\$66,944	228	0.0%	247	81.2%	283	70.6%	147	10.4%	240	
257	229.2	Clermont	412	3	\$65,903	213	2.0%	99	83.6%	302	60.5%	260	8.3%	272	
258	229.4	Clermont	414.04	3	\$65,509	211	0.0%	247	67.9%	194	58.2%	281	11.8%	214	
259	229.8	Hamilton	205.04	3	\$83,676	301	2.4%	80	78.6%	267	63.9%	227	8.2%	274	

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009

SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number	Rank		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
260	231	Hamilton	243.03	291	3	\$81,048	185	0.7%	185	68.8%	201	63.7%	229	9.9%	249
261	231.4	Hamilton	20	299	3	\$83,393	66	2.7%	66	53.8%	125	49.7%	334	4.9%	333
262	231.8	Kenton	652	247	3	\$71,196	140	1.3%	140	67.9%	193	49.8%	331	10.0%	248
263	232.4	Hamilton	213.03	289	3	\$80,558	289	1.2%	151	67.4%	189	65.1%	219	6.2%	314
263	232.4	Hamilton	222	273	3	\$75,893	273	1.3%	139	74.5%	234	61.3%	251	8.8%	265
265	233.8	Hamilton	235.22	261	3	\$73,235	261	0.6%	205	67.3%	188	58.3%	280	10.9%	235
265	233.8	Warren	319.04	270	3	\$75,357	270	1.2%	149	78.2%	265	66.9%	195	7.3%	290
267	234.4	Hamilton	57.01	165	3	\$57,917	165	0.0%	247	52.7%	120	50.3%	325	6.2%	315
268	238.8	Hamilton	226.02	306	3	\$85,250	306	2.3%	87	62.4%	156	60.2%	268	1.8%	377
269	239.2	Hamilton	46.03	171	3	\$59,115	171	0.7%	183	82.3%	290	60.2%	267	7.7%	285
270	239.6	Boone	703.08	252	3	\$71,960	252	0.4%	233	70.4%	216	64.5%	224	8.2%	273
270	239.6	Clermont	411.01	264	3	\$74,222	264	0.4%	223	83.2%	296	67.3%	184	11.1%	231
272	240.2	Campbell	519.03	302	3	\$83,696	302	0.9%	165	86.7%	327	69.1%	165	10.3%	242
273	240.4	Hamilton	215.01	259	3	\$73,108	259	0.0%	247	69.8%	207	63.4%	234	9.6%	255
274	241.4	Campbell	529	308	3	\$85,904	308	0.6%	201	78.1%	264	63.1%	235	12.6%	199
275	241.6	Hamilton	7	327	3	\$91,484	327	1.1%	158	100.0%	379	57.0%	293	25.8%	51
276	245.2	Warren	313	291	3	\$81,048	291	0.6%	202	59.4%	144	58.0%	284	6.5%	305
277	246	Kenton	641	310	3	\$86,667	310	3.5%	42	86.0%	321	59.4%	274	7.8%	283
277	246	Hamilton	204.02	307	3	\$85,759	307	0.4%	232	84.2%	306	68.0%	177	12.2%	208
279	247.4	Campbell	526	222	3	\$66,700	222	0.0%	247	100.0%	379	74.0%	109	7.9%	280
279	247.4	Hamilton	72	185	3	\$61,250	185	0.0%	247	73.9%	231	49.3%	336	10.4%	238
281	247.8	Hamilton	242	351	3	\$105,536	351	1.4%	132	77.1%	252	49.8%	332	14.1%	172
282	248.8	Kenton	649	300	3	\$83,438	300	0.0%	247	48.5%	110	54.3%	308	8.0%	279
283	249.6	Warren	320.03	298	3	\$83,197	298	1.2%	145	60.0%	147	52.0%	318	4.7%	340
284	249.8	Kenton	653	248	3	\$71,299	248	1.5%	125	90.7%	355	62.1%	244	8.0%	277
285	250.4	Hamilton	220	243	3	\$70,066	243	0.0%	247	75.8%	240	65.7%	211	6.4%	311
286	250.8	Clermont	414.01	285	3	\$79,753	285	0.8%	172	72.9%	230	57.8%	286	7.9%	281
287	251.8	Hamilton	230.02	245	3	\$70,886	245	0.0%	247	70.1%	213	57.9%	285	8.5%	269
288	254.8	Hamilton	213.04	237	3	\$69,167	237	0.0%	247	90.9%	357	71.0%	138	7.1%	295

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number	SES Index		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
289	255.8	Hamilton	46.02	3	3	\$73,144	260	0.0%	247	64.5%	169	46.7%	346	9.5%	257
290	256.8	Boone	706.01	3	3	\$76,953	276	0.0%	247	82.4%	292	67.0%	191	8.0%	278
291	257	Clermont	404.02	3	3	\$78,510	279	0.3%	235	68.0%	197	53.2%	315	9.5%	259
291	257	Hamilton	243.01	3	3	\$110,556	357	0.0%	247	57.4%	138	50.1%	328	11.8%	215
293	259	Hamilton	223.02	3	3	\$76,890	275	0.4%	225	75.0%	237	55.5%	302	9.6%	256
294	259.2	Warren	320.07	4	4	\$72,837	256	0.2%	243	79.8%	274	61.1%	256	8.7%	267
295	259.6	Boone	703.05	4	4	\$75,673	272	0.0%	247	70.4%	215	65.1%	220	4.4%	344
296	259.8	Boone	703.06	4	4	\$80,139	288	0.5%	214	78.4%	266	63.5%	231	6.9%	300
296	259.8	Hamilton	107	4	4	\$75,610	271	0.0%	247	100.0%	379	69.2%	163	10.4%	239
296	259.8	Hamilton	235.21	4	4	\$69,201	238	0.0%	247	68.3%	199	51.7%	321	7.1%	294
299	262.2	Boone	704.02	4	4	\$75,132	267	0.0%	247	87.8%	335	65.8%	208	9.6%	254
300	263.6	Kenton	636.06	4	4	\$88,505	318	2.4%	79	91.8%	361	59.0%	276	7.7%	284
301	265.6	Campbell	523.01	4	4	\$89,322	323	1.8%	105	75.4%	238	55.8%	299	3.3%	363
302	266.8	Hamilton	53	4	4	\$97,066	338	0.0%	247	34.1%	65	42.9%	364	5.8%	320
302	266.8	Warren	316	4	4	\$72,092	253	0.0%	247	86.5%	324	60.6%	258	9.8%	252
304	269.6	Hamilton	251.02	4	4	\$79,097	282	0.6%	210	77.1%	253	61.3%	250	4.1%	353
305	270	Campbell	519.04	4	4	\$76,597	274	0.0%	247	85.9%	320	61.6%	248	9.0%	261
305	270	Hamilton	205.01	4	4	\$70,000	242	0.0%	247	89.6%	350	61.4%	249	8.9%	262
307	270.6	Kenton	645	4	4	\$83,016	297	1.2%	150	80.8%	278	54.8%	306	5.6%	322
308	272.2	Hamilton	260.01	4	4	\$88,882	321	0.0%	247	87.1%	328	74.1%	106	3.7%	359
309	273	Hamilton	42	4	4	\$90,259	326	0.0%	247	82.2%	288	41.1%	367	16.1%	137
310	274.8	Boone	704.01	4	4	\$107,425	354	1.7%	111	83.4%	298	53.9%	310	6.8%	301
311	275.8	Boone	706.03	4	4	\$92,642	330	0.6%	208	81.2%	284	61.9%	245	6.3%	312
312	276.2	Kenton	648	4	4	\$89,297	322	0.7%	200	72.4%	224	51.6%	322	6.3%	313
313	276.4	Hamilton	106	4	4	\$66,071	216	0.0%	247	100.0%	379	67.0%	190	4.3%	350
313	276.4	Warren	312	4	4	\$87,384	313	0.4%	222	77.3%	256	61.1%	255	4.8%	336
315	277.2	Hamilton	52	4	4	\$87,870	317	0.0%	247	65.6%	177	49.2%	337	6.4%	308
316	278.2	Boone	703.07	4	4	\$88,767	320	0.4%	231	79.4%	273	62.2%	243	5.4%	324
317	279	Hamilton	239.01	4	4	\$87,685	316	3.1%	48	88.8%	340	47.8%	344	4.4%	347

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
SES Index Rank	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education		
		Number	SES Index		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	
318	Warren	305.03	280.6	4	\$83,811	304	0.0%	247	76.5%	245	56.6%	297	6.4%	310	
319	Kenton	640	282.6	4	\$97,054	337	1.5%	129	84.1%	304	57.2%	292	4.2%	351	
319	Kenton	647	282.6	4	\$77,159	277	0.7%	192	81.4%	285	53.6%	313	4.4%	346	
321	Hamilton	208.02	284	4	\$81,098	293	0.4%	224	93.6%	370	55.7%	300	11.0%	233	
322	Hamilton	12	285.6	4	\$78,750	280	0.0%	247	62.5%	158	34.8%	376	3.1%	367	
323	Clermont	404.01	287	4	\$80,000	286	0.0%	247	82.2%	287	51.2%	323	7.2%	292	
324	Warren	320.06	289.4	4	\$93,352	334	1.7%	110	87.9%	336	50.3%	326	4.5%	341	
325	Hamilton	205.02	290.2	4	\$82,723	295	0.0%	247	88.8%	339	59.9%	271	7.0%	299	
326	Hamilton	240.02	290.4	4	\$95,658	336	0.0%	247	76.0%	241	51.8%	319	6.4%	309	
327	Campbell	504	290.6	4	\$83,721	303	0.0%	247	80.4%	277	54.9%	305	5.6%	321	
327	Clermont	406	290.6	4	\$100,781	346	0.0%	247	80.2%	275	58.0%	283	6.7%	302	
329	Boone	703.09	290.8	4	\$92,975	331	0.0%	247	78.0%	262	60.4%	262	4.2%	352	
330	Dearborn	801.02	291.4	4	\$84,187	305	0.0%	247	88.4%	338	63.8%	228	4.7%	339	
331	Hamilton	212.02	291.6	4	\$92,292	329	0.6%	206	79.2%	272	57.7%	289	3.3%	362	
331	Hamilton	240.01	291.6	4	\$82,917	296	0.0%	247	82.4%	293	49.0%	340	7.9%	282	
333	Hamilton	221.01	292.2	4	\$87,665	315	0.7%	191	93.2%	369	61.2%	252	4.9%	334	
334	Hamilton	250.02	292.6	4	\$88,750	319	0.0%	247	77.0%	251	55.6%	301	4.4%	345	
335	Hamilton	250.01	295.4	4	\$79,655	284	0.0%	247	80.9%	279	49.1%	339	5.1%	328	
336	Hamilton	206.01	297.8	4	\$93,125	333	0.6%	207	86.6%	326	52.6%	316	6.5%	307	
337	Clermont	415.01	298.4	4	\$100,938	347	0.5%	219	90.7%	354	60.1%	269	6.7%	303	
337	Hamilton	14	298.4	4	\$250,001	384	0.0%	247	60.1%	148	27.4%	383	5.1%	330	
339	Campbell	513	298.6	4	\$107,321	353	0.4%	226	75.8%	239	44.5%	357	6.1%	318	
339	Warren	320.05	298.6	4	\$98,571	341	1.6%	119	82.4%	291	42.5%	366	2.0%	376	
341	Warren	308	299	4	\$95,271	335	0.0%	247	89.3%	348	58.3%	279	7.6%	286	
342	Warren	322.02	299.4	4	\$110,625	358	0.1%	245	86.5%	323	51.1%	324	10.0%	247	
343	Hamilton	224	301	4	\$99,327	344	0.7%	196	89.0%	342	50.0%	330	7.2%	293	
344	Hamilton	59	302.2	4	\$101,932	349	0.0%	247	68.0%	196	43.1%	363	3.7%	356	
345	Hamilton	45	302.4	4	\$150,658	379	3.6%	40	96.1%	377	44.3%	358	3.7%	358	
346	Hamilton	208.12	302.8	4	\$78,852	281	0.0%	247	91.2%	359	56.4%	298	5.1%	329	

SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009															
SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number	SES Index		Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
347	303.2	Hamilton	251.03	4	4	\$98,665	342	0.5%	218	85.9%	319	53.9%	311	5.3%	326
348	304.8	Hamilton	213.02	4	4	\$97,119	339	0.0%	247	89.1%	344	60.7%	257	4.8%	337
349	305	Hamilton	211.02	4	4	\$91,614	328	0.0%	247	91.0%	358	62.8%	238	4.0%	354
350	305.6	Hamilton	211.01	4	4	\$89,552	324	0.0%	247	91.6%	360	59.8%	272	5.4%	325
351	307	Warren	319.02	4	4	\$99,400	345	0.7%	189	84.8%	311	52.2%	317	2.5%	373
352	307.2	Warren	322.01	4	4	\$89,561	325	0.0%	247	87.8%	334	54.6%	307	5.4%	323
353	310.6	Hamilton	241	4	4	\$87,473	314	0.0%	247	84.0%	303	46.4%	347	4.5%	342
354	313.2	Hamilton	243.21	4	4	\$107,692	355	0.4%	227	84.7%	310	48.1%	343	4.9%	331
355	313.6	Warren	320.04	4	4	\$112,361	360	0.8%	178	90.0%	352	43.4%	361	6.1%	317
356	316	Hamilton	71	4	4	\$113,333	361	0.0%	247	83.6%	300	35.4%	375	7.0%	297
357	316.6	Hamilton	13	4	4	\$108,618	356	0.0%	247	86.4%	322	58.5%	278	1.2%	380
358	318.8	Kenton	655.02	4	4	\$87,131	312	0.0%	247	88.8%	341	45.5%	351	4.5%	343
359	322.2	Campbell	523.02	4	4	\$104,167	350	0.0%	247	85.4%	313	50.0%	329	2.7%	372
360	322.4	Hamilton	249.02	4	4	\$114,114	365	0.3%	234	86.5%	325	45.1%	353	4.9%	335
361	322.8	Hamilton	47.01	4	4	\$113,333	361	0.0%	247	83.5%	299	39.5%	369	4.7%	338
361	322.8	Hamilton	239.02	4	4	\$132,500	375	0.0%	247	89.0%	343	44.0%	360	7.4%	289
363	323.2	Hamilton	233	4	4	\$126,094	373	1.7%	115	98.4%	378	29.0%	381	3.0%	369
364	324.6	Warren	305.04	4	4	\$114,069	364	0.0%	247	95.3%	375	48.2%	341	7.0%	296
365	325.4	Kenton	655.01	4	4	\$93,095	332	0.0%	247	92.1%	362	53.7%	312	2.5%	374
366	327.8	Hamilton	207.07	4	4	\$99,167	343	0.3%	238	93.7%	371	50.2%	327	3.6%	360
367	329.4	Warren	309	4	4	\$121,792	371	0.7%	195	90.4%	353	43.2%	362	3.1%	366
368	332.6	Hamilton	51	4	4	\$115,852	368	0.0%	247	83.3%	297	42.8%	365	0.0%	386
369	333.2	Hamilton	231	4	4	\$111,250	359	0.0%	247	93.9%	373	49.1%	338	4.4%	349
370	334.2	Clermont	403	4	4	\$121,101	370	0.3%	240	89.2%	347	45.5%	349	3.1%	365
371	336.6	Hamilton	248	4	4	\$114,167	366	0.0%	247	89.1%	345	39.1%	370	4.0%	355
372	337.6	Hamilton	226.01	4	4	\$114,316	367	0.0%	247	87.7%	333	36.9%	373	3.1%	368
373	338.2	Hamilton	49	4	4	\$132,647	376	0.0%	247	85.6%	315	39.0%	371	0.4%	382
374	338.4	Hamilton	243.22	4	4	\$142,184	377	0.6%	213	92.4%	366	38.6%	372	3.3%	364
375	338.6	Hamilton	235.01	4	4	\$125,840	372	0.0%	247	92.3%	365	34.0%	377	4.9%	332

**SES INDEX AND VARIABLES FOR CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN AREA CENSUS TRACTS, 2005-2009**

SES Index Rank	SES Index	County	Census Tract		Quartile	Family Income		Crowding		Family Structure		Occupation		Education	
			Number			Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank	Index	Rank
376	339	Hamilton	48		4	\$166,087	380	0.7%	194	90.9%	356	27.8%	382	0.4%	383
377	340	Hamilton	251.01		4	\$113,839	363	0.0%	247	92.3%	364	44.7%	356	2.8%	370
378	340.6	Hamilton	212.01		4	\$116,453	369	0.0%	247	93.9%	372	45.0%	354	3.5%	361
379	340.8	Hamilton	245		4	\$150,000	378	0.3%	237	89.2%	346	44.2%	359	0.2%	384
380	343.8	Warren	319.03		4	\$128,324	374	0.3%	239	92.2%	363	40.9%	368	2.5%	375
381	346.4	Hamilton	50		4	\$105,625	352	0.0%	247	95.6%	376	33.8%	378	1.6%	379
382	347.2	Hamilton	244		4	\$227,042	383	0.5%	220	94.2%	374	35.9%	374	0.2%	385
383	347.8	Hamilton	251.04		4	\$206,500	381	0.0%	247	89.9%	351	33.1%	379	0.7%	381
384 <sup>a</sup>	349.75 <sup>a</sup>	Hamilton	43		4	\$223,333	382	0.0%	247	---	---	25.4%	384	0.0%	386
---	---	Hamilton	1		4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	31.1%	33
---	---	Hamilton	62.02		4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	21.6%	76
---	---	Warren	317		4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	37.9%	14
<sup>a</sup> SES Index Value calculated for Census tract 43 of Hamilton County (rank value: 384), despite lacking data for one of the five indicator values															
<sup>b</sup> SES Index Value <i>not</i> calculated due to lack of data for four of the five indicator values															
<sup>c</sup> Data not available															